checked by N
11/24/15

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Addison Rice
Anderson, Mulholland and Associates

DATE: November 14, 2015

FROM: R. Infante

FILE: 1510223A

RE: Data Validation
Air samples
SDG: 1510223A
sub-slab soil gas

SUMMARY

Full validation was performed on the data for several gas samples analyzed for methanol by method Compendium Method TO-15: Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999. The samples were collected at the Building 6 VI, Bristol Myer Squib, Humacao, PR site on October 09-10, 2015 and submitted to Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. of Folson, California that analyzed and reported the results under delivery groups (SDG) 1510223A.

The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: Compendium Method TO-15. Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999; Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #4. October, 2006. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

In general the data is valid as reported and may be used for decision making purposes. The data results are acceptable for use.

SAMPLES

The samples included in the review are listed below

Client Sample ID	Lab. Sample ID	Collected Date	Matrix	Analysis
B30-1101015	1510223A-01A	10/10/2015	Air	Methanol
B30-2100915	1510223A-02A	10/09/2015	Air	Methanol
B30-3101015	1510223A-03A	10/10/2015	Air	Methanol
B30-4100915	1510223A-04A	10/09/2015	Air	Methanol
B30-4D100915	1510223A-05A	10/09/2015	Air	Methanol
B30-5100915	1510223A-06A	10/09/2015	Air	Methanol
B42-1101015	1510223A-07A	10/10/2015	Air	Methanol
B42-2101015	1510223A-08A	10/10/2015	Air	Methanol
B42-3101015	1510223A-09A	10/10/2015	Air	Methanol

REVIEW ELEMENTS

Sample data were reviewed for the following parameters, where applicable to the method

- Agreement of analysis conducted with chain of custody (COC) form
- Holding time and sample preservation
- Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) tunes
- Initial and continuing calibrations
- Method blanks/trip blanks/field blank
- Canister cleaning certification criteria
- Surrogate spike recovery
- Internal standard performance and retention times
- Field duplicate results
- Laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) results
- Quantitation limits and sample results

DISCUSSION

Agreement of Analysis Conducted with COC Request

Sample reports corresponded to the analytical request designated on the chain-of-custody.

Holding Times and Sample Preservation

Sample preservation was acceptable.

Samples analyzed within method recommended holding time.

GC/MS Tunes

The frequency and abundance of bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tunes were within the QC acceptance criteria. All samples were analyzed within the tuning criteria associated with the method.

Initial and Continuing Calibrations

VOCs – Methanol (Method TO-15)
One point calibration curve performed. Ongoing accuracy of the instrument was determined by the analysis of a continuing calibration standard.

Method Blank/Trip Blank/Field Blank

Target analytes were not detected in laboratory method blanks.

Summa canister met cleaning certification criteria.

No trip/field blank analyzed with this data package.

Surrogate Spike Recovery

The surrogate recoveries as per method TO-15 were within the laboratory QC acceptance limits in all samples analyzed. ASTM method for methane does not require surrogate standards.

Internal Standard Performance

VOCs - Methanol
Samples were spiked with the method specified internal standard. Internal standard are performance and retention times met the QC acceptance criteria in all sample analyses and calibration standards.

Laboratory/Field Duplicate Results

Field/laboratory duplicates were analyzed as part of this data set. Target analytes meet the RPD performance criteria of + 25 % for analytes 5 x SQL.

LCS/LCSD Results

LCS/LCSD (blank spike) not analyzed by the laboratory associated with this data package. Accuracy evaluated using surrogate standard recovery.

Quantitation Limits and Sample Results

Dilutions were performed on TO-15 samples (see worksheet).

Calculations were spot checked.

Certification

The following samples 1510223A-01A; 1510223A-02A; 1510223A-03A; 1510223A-04A; 1510223A-05A; 1510223A-06A; 1510223A-07A; 1510223A-08A; and 1510223A-09A were analyzed following standard procedures accepted by regulatory agencies. The quality control requirements met the methods criteria except in the occasions described in this document. The results are valid.

Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B30-1101015

Lab ID#: 1510223A-01A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101505**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/10/15 10:22:00 A**
Dil. Factor:	**2.27**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 01:37 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	110	Not Detected	150	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130
Toluene-d8	100	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	70-130

ESTADO LIBRE ASOCIADO DE PUERTO RICO • QUIMICO LICENCIADO •
Rafael Infante Méndez
LIC # 1888

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B30-2100915

Lab ID#: 1510223A-02A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101506**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/9/15 3:40:00 PM**
Dil. Factor:	**2.30**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 01:54 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	120	Not Detected	150	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	70-130
Toluene-d8	98	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130

ESTADO LIBRE ASOCIADO DE PUERTO RICO
Rafael Infante Méndez
LIC # 1888
QUIMICO LICENCIADO

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B30-3101015

Lab ID#: 1510223A-03A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101507**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/10/15 10:42:00 A**
Dil. Factor:	**2.35**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 02:11 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	120	Not Detected	150	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	101	70-130
Toluene-d8	101	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	70-130

ESTADO LIBRE ASOCIADO DE PUERTO RICO
Rafael Infante Méndez
IC # 1888
QUIMICO LICENCIADO

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B30-4100915

Lab ID#: 1510223A-04A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101508**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/9/15 12:31:00 PM**
Dil. Factor:	**2.24**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 02:28 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	110	Not Detected	150	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	70-130
Toluene-d8	101	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130

ESTADO LIBRE ASOCIADO DE PUERTO RICO
Rafael Infante Méndez
IC # 1888
QUIMICO LICENCIADO

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B30-4D100915

Lab ID#: 1510223A-05A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101509**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/9/15 12:31:00 PM**
Dil. Factor:	**2.36**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 02:52 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	120	Not Detected	150	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130
Toluene-d8	100	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	70-130

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B30-5100915

Lab ID#: 1510223A-06A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101510**	**Date of Collection: 10/9/15 1:36:00 PM**	
Dil. Factor:	**2.38**	**Date of Analysis: 10/15/15 03:10 PM**	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	120	Not Detected	160	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	70-130
Toluene-d8	99	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	70-130

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B42-1101015

Lab ID#: 1510223A-07A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101511**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/10/15 11:31:00 A**
Dil. Factor:	**2.30**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 03:33 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	120	Not Detected	150	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	70-130
Toluene-d8	99	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	70-130

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B42-2101015

Lab ID#: 1510223A-08A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101512**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/10/15 12:10:00 P**
Dil. Factor:	**2.28**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 03:50 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	110	Not Detected	150	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	70-130
Toluene-d8	100	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130

eurofins

Client Sample ID: B42-3101015

Lab ID#: 1510223A-09A

EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS

File Name:	**14101513**	**Date of Collection:**	**10/10/15 12:32:00 P**
Dil. Factor:	**2.38**	**Date of Analysis:**	**10/15/15 04:07 PM**

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Methanol	120	Not Detected	160	Not Detected

Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister (100% Certified)

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130
Toluene-d8	98	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	70-130

eurofins | Air Toxics

Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B
FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719
(916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Project Manager: Terry Taylor
Collected by: (Print and Sign) Terry Taylor
Company: AMAI Email: ttaylor@amaiconsult
Address: 2700 Westchester Ave City: Purchase State: NY Zip: 10577
Phone: Fax:

Project Info:
P.O. #:
Project #:
Project Name: BMS VI

Turn Around Time:
☐ Normal
☒ Rush
3-day (specify)

Lab Use Only
Pressurized by:
Date:
Pressurization Gas: N_2 He

Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Can #	Date of Collection	Time of Collection	Analyses Requested	Canister Pressure/Vacuum Initial	Final	Receipt	Final (psi)
01A	B30-1101015	20780	10/10/15	1022	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
02A	B30-2100915	31767	10/9/15	1540	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
03A	B30-3101015	36440	10/10/15	1042	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
04A	B30-4100915	31788	10/9/15	1231	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
05A	B30-40100915	37314	10/9/15	1231	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
06A	B30-5100915	N2056	10/9/15	1336	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
07A	B42-1101015	11430	10/10/15	1131	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
08A	B42-2101015	34461 → ~~36464~~	10/10/15	1210	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		
09A	B42-3101015	36464	10/10/15	1232	TO-15, CH_4	30	5		

Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (signature) Date/Time
[signature] 10/12/15 12:00	MMEATL 101315 0945
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (signature) Date/Time
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	Received by: (signature) Date/Time

Notes:
Report results to MDL
Shipped via FedEx by AMAI
Tracking no. 7747 1483 9650

Lab Use Only	Shipper Name	Air Bill #	Temp (°C)	Condition	Custody Seals Intact?	Work Order #
	FedEX		NA	Good	Yes No (None)	1510223

Project Number:__1510223A__
Date:_____10/18/2015_____

REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: QC criteria from "Compendium Method TO-15. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters and Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999"; USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Branch. Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #4. October, 2006). The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Eurofins_-_Air_Toxics_____ data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for VOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: __1510223A_____ Sample matrix: ___Air_____
No. of Samples: _____9_____

Trip blank No.: ____-_____
Field blank No.: _____-_____
Equipment blank No.:___-_____
Field duplicate No.:_____ B30-4100915/B30-4D10009_____

___X___ Data Completeness
___X___ Holding Times
___X___ GC/MS Tuning
___X___ Internal Standard Performance
___X___ Blanks
___X___ Surrogate Recoveries
___N/A_ Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
___X___ Laboratory Control Spikes
___X___ Field Duplicates
___X___ Calibrations
___X___ Compound Identifications
___X___ Compound Quantitation
___X___ Quantitation Limits

Overall Comments:_____Methanol_by_method_TO-15_____
_____

Definition of Qualifiers:
J- Estimated results
U- Compound not detected
R- Rejected data
UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante
Date:__ _____11/15/2015_____

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pH	ACTION
All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time				

Criteria

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH $\leq$ 2, 4°C), no air bubbles.
Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles.
Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection.
Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 $\pm$ 2 °C): N/A – summa canisters

Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).
If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimates positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)
If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).
If holding times are exceeded but < 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).
If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).
If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).
If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met see below _____

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

__X___ The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

__X___ BFB tuning was performed for every 24 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

List the samples affected:
_____

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met
and/or see below ____

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:_____10/1515____
Dates of continuing calibration:___10/15/15____
Instrument ID numbers:____MSD-14_____
Matrix/Level:_____Air/low_____

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
One point calibration. Initial and continuing calibrations meet method specific requirements. Initial calibration retention times meet method specific requirements.				

Criteria

All RFs must be > 0.05 regardless of method requirements for SPCC.
All %RSD must be ≤ 15 % regardless of method requirements for CCC.
All %Ds must be ≤ 30% regardless of method requirements for CCC.
Method TO-15 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of ≥ 0.995 has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of < 0.05, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.
If any compound has a %RSD > 15%, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.
If any compound has a %RSD > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).
If any compound has a % D > 30%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).
If any compound has a % D > 30%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).
If any compound has a % D > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).
If any compound has r < 0.995, estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
All_method_blank_meeth_method_specific_criteria				
Summa_canisters_met_cleaning_certification_criteria				

Field/Equipment/Trip blank

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
No_field/trip/equipment_blanks_analyzed_with_this_data_package.				

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene)
ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and ≤ AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.
If the concentration is ≥ SQL but ≤ AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.
If the concentration is ≥ SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately
Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.
List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.
Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND			ACTION
	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-d4	Toluene-d8	4-BFB	

_Surrogate_recoveries_within_laboratory_control_limits_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

QC Limits* (Air)

_____LL_to_UL___70__to_130__ _70__to_130_ _70__to_130__

* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 80 – 120 % for aqueous and 70 – 130 % for solid samples.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	UJ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%.

If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

All criteria were met _____
Criteria were not met
and/or see below __N/A____

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.
Sample ID:_____ Matrix/Level:_____

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
MS/MSD are not required as part of Method TO-15; blank spike used to assess accuracy					

* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).
If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).
If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met ____
Criteria were not met
and/or see below __N/A__

VII. B MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

It should be noted that Method TO-15 does not specify a MS/MSD criteria for the unspiked compounds in the sample. A %RSD of < 50% has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

If all target analytes were spiked in the MS/MSD, this review element is not applicable.

List the %RSD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:_____ Matrix/Level/Unit:_____

COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.	% RSD	ACTION

Actions:

* If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).
* If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.
List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT

___No_LCS/LCSD_(Blank_spike)_analyzed_in_this_data_package._____

* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).
If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? Yes or **No**.
If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

All criteria were met ___X___
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

IX. LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: _ B30-4100915/B30-4D10009____ Matrix:___Air____

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.
Suggested criteria: RPD ± 25% for air samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
RPD within the method performance criteria.					

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

All criteria were met _X_
Criteria were not met
and/or see below ____

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

* Area of +40% or -40% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
* Retention time (RT) within ± 0.06 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION

_Internal_standard_area_and_retention_times_within_laboratory_control_limits_for_both_samples_and_calibration_standards_____

Actions:

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -40%		IS AREA > + 40%
Positive results	J		J
Nondetected results	R		ACCEPT

2. If a IS retention time varies more than 0.330 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

All criteria were met _X_
Criteria were not met
and/or see below ____

XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Calibration check

Methanol RF = 3.27954

[ ] = (22330)(400)/(54471)(3.27954)

= 50.0 ppbv OK

All criteria were met __X__
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASONS FOR DILUTION
All samples diluted by a factor of less than 2.4.		

B. Percent Solids

List samples which have ≤ 50 % solids

Actions:

If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R)